DIONEX DIONEX

Application Note 323

Now sold under the Thermo Scientific brand



Extraction of Polychlorinated Dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans from Environmental Samples Using Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE)

Meets the requirements of U.S. EPA Method 3545

INTRODUCTION

Regulatory agencies are concerned with the high toxicity of polychlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (PCDDs) and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs); great efforts are expended to monitor their presence in environmental samples. The low concentrations at which these compounds are regulated and the strength of their binding to certain matrices pose special challenges to analytical chemists. The most commonly used extraction procedure is Soxhlet extraction. It is time-consuming (typically 18 to 36 h) and requires large volumes of solvent (250 to 500 mL). An alternative method is warranted.

Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE®) is equivalent to U.S. EPA Methods 3540, 3541, and 8150 for the extraction of organochlorine pesticides (OCPs), organophosphorus pesticides (OPPs), semivolatiles or base, neutral, acids (BNA), chlorinated herbicides, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). ASE complies with U.S. EPA Method 3545 for these compounds. ASE is a technique that uses liquid solvents at elevated temperatures and pressures. These conditions allow extractions to take place in short periods of time with small solvent volumes as compared to conventional techniques such as sonication and Soxhlet extraction. For example, a 10 gram sample can typically be extracted by ASE in less than 15 min with less than 15 mL of solvent as compared to several hours and several hundred milliliters of solvent with Soxhlet. ASE has been applied to the extraction of PCDDs and PCDFs from ground chimney brick, urban dust, fly ash, and sediment samples; the results are reported here.

EQUIPMENT

Dionex ASE 200 Accelerated Solvent Extractor* Gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with mass spectrometer (MS) (low resolution or high resolution MS-MS) Low pressure LC system for sample cleanup Preparative HPLC for sample cleanup

*ASE 150 and 350 can be used for equivalent results.

SOLVENTS

Toluene, pesticide grade Acetic acid, reagent grade Hydrochloric acid, reagent grade All solvents are available from Fisher Scientific.

SAMPLE INFORMATION

Two sample sets were investigated: one from Germany that included chimney brick, urban dust, and fly ash, and a second from Canada that included four sediment samples. A sediment sample (EC-2) containing high ng/kg levels (ppt) of PCDDs and PCDFs was obtained from the National Water Research Institute (867 Lakeshore Road, P.O. Box 5050, Burlington, Ontario, L7R 4A6, Canada). A low-level sediment sample (HS-2) was obtained from the National Research Council Institute for Marine Biosciences (1411 Oxford Street, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 3Z1, Canada). Both samples are being investigated as potential standard reference materials and were used as received. Two other sediment samples (Parrots Bay and Hamilton Harbor) were extracted. Both have high levels of coextractable materials.

EXTRACTION CONDITIONS

Table 1 lists the conditions used for the extraction of the chimney brick and urban dust by Soxhlet and ASE.

Table 1. Extraction Conditions forChimney Brick and Urban Dust				
Condition	Soxhlet	ASE*		
Sample Size	4–10 g	4–10 g		
Solvent	Toluene, 250 mL	Toluene, 15 mL		
Temperature	<111 °C	150 °C		
Pressure	Atmospheric	1500 psi**		
Time	18 h	5 min heat-up, 5 min static		
Analytical	GC-MS	GC-MS		

*Duplicate extractions were performed on each sample and are totaled in the results.

**Pressure studies show that 1500 psi is the optimum extraction pressure for all ASE applications.

The procedure for the extraction of fly ash was slightly different than the procedure for the other matrices. Before solvent extraction, the samples were treated with 6 M HCl for 30 min and then rinsed thoroughly with distilled water. The extractions by both Soxhlet and ASE were then performed as listed in Table 1. One additional set of extractions was performed on fresh fly ash samples. Instead of pretreatment with HCl, (5% (v/v) glacial acetic acid was added to the toluene for the ASE extraction. All other conditions were held constant.

The extraction conditions for the sediment samples were changed slightly from those used for the chimney brick and urban dust. Instead of doing two separate extractions on each sample and combining the results, the method was changed to have a double static extraction period. With this method, the complete extraction time was about 17 min, and with the double extractions, the total time was close to 22 min. All other conditions were identical to those listed in Table 1.

QUANTITATION Sample Cleanup

Cleanup of the chimney brick, urban dust, and fly ash sample extracts was performed by using a chromatographic column packed with multiple layers of silica gel and alumina, in accordance with the German method VDI 3499.

Extracts from the sediment samples were cleaned up using a dual stage open column chromatography procedure consisting of modified silica and alumina stationary phases. Samples were further cleaned up using an automated HPLC carbon-based method to remove diphenylether interferences. Complete details of the analytical procedure are available elsewhere.¹

Analysis by GC/MS and GC/MS/MS

Extracts of the chimney dust, urban dust, and fly ash samples were analyzed by GC-LRMS The column used for the chromatography was a 60 m \times 0.25 mm i.d. \times 0.15 μ m film thickness Dioxin column.

Sediment sample extracts were analyzed by GC/MS/ MS or GC/HRMS. All extracts were separated using a 60 m \times 0.25 mm i.d. \times 0.25 mm film thickness fused-silica capillary column.

Standards

An internal standard solution containing 10 reference compounds including ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -2,3,7,8-T₄CDD was used for the chimney dust, urban dust, and fly ash samples. No cleanup standard was used. Samples were reconstituted with a recovery standard solution (100 μ L) containing ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-T₄CDD at 25 ng/mL.

For the sediment samples, standard PCDD/PCDF mixtures were prepared from stock solutions obtained from either Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. or Wellington Laboratories. The internal quantitation standard contained 15 ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -2,3,7,8-substituted PCDDs and PCDFs. The compounds used are those congeners listed in the data tables. Following extraction, the samples were spiked with a cleanup standard (${}^{37}C_{14}$ -2,3,7,8-T₄CDD) in order to differentiate between losses occurring at the extraction and cleanup stages. Prior to injection, the samples were reconstituted with a recovery standard solution (10 μ L) containing ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -1,2,3,4-T₄CDD and ${}^{13}C_{12}$ -1,2,3,7,8,9-H₆CDD at 100 pg/ μ L in nonane.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Ground Chimney Brick and Urban Dust

Tables 2 and 3 show the results from the ground chimney brick and urban dust as selected congeners and as the total of the isomers. The toxicity equivalent is calculated by adding the weighted factors of each isomer's toxicity. One is calculated according to a formula from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and the other is from the German health organization BgVV. As can be seen, ASE shows equivalency to the Soxhlet method for recovery of these compounds.

Fly Ash

Table 2. Average Valu Chimney Brick—Compa		
Group Totals	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASEª (n=2)
Total T ₄ CDD	440	530
Total P ₅ CDD	900	940
Total H ₆ CDD	1800	2000
Total H ₇ CDD	2000	2100
Total O ₈ CDD	2900	2600
Total T₄CDF	2300	2600
Total P₅CDF	4100	4300
Total H ₆ CDF	4700	4700
Total H ₇ CDF	2800	2600
Total O ₈ CDF	2000	2000
Congeners	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASEª (n=2)
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDD	6.0	6.0
1,2,3,7,8-P₅CDD	52	57
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDD	46	52
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDD	120	130
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDD	97	1000
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDD	1000	820
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDF	160	180
1,2,3,7,8(+1,2,3,4,8)-P ₅ CDF	430	470
2,3,4,7,8-P ₅ CDF	390	390
1,2,3,4,7,8(+1,2,3,4,7,9)-H ₆ CDF	1100	1100
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	540	570
2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	400	360
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDF	42	42
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDF	2100	2000
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H ₇ CDF	140	120
Toxicity equivalent (NATO)	540	540
Toxicity equivalent (BgVV)	490	510

Values are corrected for recovery of ¹³C-labeled surrogates. ^aSum of two extractions of each sample. The results from the extractions of the fly ash are listed in Table 4. The units for this sample are $\mu g/kg$ because the sample was so highly contaminated. ASE results are equivalent to those from Soxhlet extractions when the HCl/water pretreatment was used. Table 5 shows the data from the fly ash extractions when

Table 3. Average Valu		
Dust—Comparisor Group Totals	of Soxhlet vs Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE Aseª (n=2)
Total T₄CDD	182	325
Total P ₅ CDD	175	221
Total H ₆ CDD	86.7	81.7
Total H ₇ CDD	221	217
Total O ₈ CDD	445	314
Total T₄CDF	333	419
Total P₅CDF	146	179
Total H ₆ CDF	65.9	122
Total H ₇ CDF	13.2	29.4
Total O ₈ CDF	n.d. (10)	n.d. (10)
Congeners	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASEª (n=2)
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDD	3.3	3.2
1,2,3,7,8-P ₅ CDD	11.8	13.1
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDD	9.8	8.0
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDD	11.5	9.5
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDD	n.d. (8)	n.d. (8)
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDD	113	107
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDF	12.5	18.6
1,2,3,7,8(+1,2,3,4,8)-P ₅ CDF	9.9	12.0
2,3,4,7,8-P ₅ CDF	13.9	18.1
1,2,3,4,7,8(+1,2,3,4,7,9)-H ₆ CDF	18.7	23.7
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	10.7	15.8
2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	3.3	8.7
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDF	n.d. (2)	n.d. (2)
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDF	13.2	29.4
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H ₇ CDF	n.d. (3)	n.d. (3)
Toxicity equivalent (NATO)	25.0	28.7
Toxicity equivalent (BgVV)	24.2	29.2

Values are corrected for recovery of ¹³C-labeled surrogates.

n.d.=not detected. Detection limit, in ppt, given in parentheses

^aSum of two extractions of each sample.

acetic acid was added to the toluene for the extractions by ASE. The results with the acetic acid are a little lower than with the HCl pretreatment, but the recovery is still close to 96% of that of Soxhlet, making ASE essentially identical to Soxhlet for these compounds.

Table 4. Average Values (µg/kg) from Fly Ash ^a Comparison of Soxhlet vs. ASE (HCI Pretreatment)				
Group Totals	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE⁵ (n=2)		
Total T₄.CDD	12.0	12.4		
Total P₅CDD	16.6	20.5		
Total H ₆ CDD	38.2	42.4		
Total H ₇ CDD	15.0	19.8		
Total O ₈ CDD	11.4	12.8		
Total T₄CDF	60.5	67.5		
Total P ₅ CDF	83.5	87.3		
Total H ₆ CDF	65.2	73.5		
Total H ₇ CDF	28.1	32.2		
Total O _s CDF	13.5	15.8		
Congeners	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE⁵ (n=2)		
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDD	0.32	0.36		
1,2,3,7,8-P ₅ CDD	1.6	2.1		
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDD	1.2	1.4		
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDD	2.4	2.7		
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDD	2.4	2.3		
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDD	8.2	9.6		
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDF	3.7	4.3		
1,2,3,7,8(+1,2,3,4,8)-P ₅ CDF	4.2	4.6		
2,3,4,7,8-P ₅ CDF	5.6	6.6		
1,2,3,4,7,8(+1,2,3,4,7,9)-H ₆ CDF	7.8	8.7		
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	7.2	8.5		
2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	6.6	7.2		
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDF	0.43	0.56		
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDF	18.0	17.6		
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H ₇ CDF	2.3	2.4		
Toxicity equivalent (NATO)	7.6	8.9		
Toxicity equivalent (BgVV)	7.3	14.2		

High-Level Sediment Samples

A comparison of average results for the Soxhlet and ASE methods for the high-level sediment sample (EC-2) is presented in Table 6. The data compare very favorably. Discrepancies were found to exist mostly with the group total data. For example, despite having found more isomers in the T_4 CDF and P_5 CDF congener groups with ASE, the total values were lower than the Soxhletbased data.

Table 5. Average Values (µg/kg) from Fly Ashª Comparison of Soxhletª vs. ASE ^b				
Group Totals	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASEº (n=2)		
Total T₄CDD	12.0	10.5		
Total P₅CDD	16.6	16.2		
Total H ₆ CDD	38.2	36.7		
Total H ₇ CDD	15.0	16.0		
Total O ₈ CDD	11.4	10.6		
Total T₄CDF	60.5	56.1		
Total P ₅ CDF	83.5	77.4		
Total H ₆ CDF	65.2	46.1		
Total H ₇ CDF	28.1	26.5		
Total O ₈ CDF	13.5	13.9		
Congeners	Soxhlet (n=1)	ASE° (n=2)		
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDD	0.32	0.28		
1,2,3,7,8-P ₅ CDD	1.6	1.7		
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDD	1.2	1.2		
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDD	2.4	2.4		
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDD	2.4	2.2		
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDD	8.2	8.1		
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDF	3.7	3.4		
1,2,3,7,8(+1,2,3,4,8)-P ₅ CDF	4.2	3.9		
2,3,4,7,8-P ₅ CDF	5.6	5.8		
1,2,3,4,7,8(+1,2,3,4,7,9)-H ₆ CDF	7.8	5.4		
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	7.2	5.3		
2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	6.6	4.5		
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDF	0.43	0.30		
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDF	18.0	16.8		
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H ₇ CDF	2.3	2.0		
Toxicity equivalent (NATO)	7.6	7.0		
Toxicity equivalent (BgVV)	7.3	6.3		

Values are corrected for recovery of ¹³C-labeled surrogates. ^aPretreatment with HCI for 30 min followed by water rinse. ^bSum of two extractions of each sample.

^aPretreatment with HCl for 30 min followed by water rinse for Soxhlet samples.

"No HCI pretreatment, and toluene/acetic acid solvent used for ASE samples. "Sum of two extractions of each sample.

4 Extraction of Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-dioxins and Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans from Environmental Samples Using Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE)

Values are corrected for recovery of ¹³C-labeled surrogates.

These differences may be attributable to sample heterogeneity. The surrogate recoveries for the two techniques (averaged over the entire data set) were 78% with Soxhlet and 70% using ASE. Losses arising from the cleanup processes were predictably the same (54% average cleanup standard recovery for the Soxhlet data and 63% for the ASE data). The comparison of the recovery of the specific congeners as shown in Table 6 is very good.

The data for sample HS-2 show similar trends (Table 7). The specific congeners are once again in excellent agreement for the two techniques, but the group results by ASE are lower than those by Soxhlet. Average surrogate recoveries were 75% using Soxhlet and 73% using ASE. Cleanup standard recoveries were 56% (Soxhlet) and 66% (ASE).

0	Soxhlet Extraction (n=10)			Accelerated Solvent Extraction (n=2)		
Group Totals	Value	% RSD	Isomers	Value	% RSD	Isomers
Total T₄CDD	430	9.7	8	370	1.9	9
Total P ₅ CDD	300	3.7	11	280	7.7	11
Total H ₆ CDD	720	5.8	7	690	2.0	7
Total H ₇ CDD	1300	7.0	2	1300	0.0	2
Total O ₈ CDD	4000	6.2	1	4200	0.0	1
Total T ₄ CDF	620	12	17	380	19	19
Total P₅CDF	820	9.4	14	710	7.0	17
Total H ₆ CDF	1900	5.7	12	1900	0.0	13
Total H ₇ CDF	3800	8.2	4	3900	3.6	4
Total O ₈ CDF	7800	8.3	1	7000	3.1	1
Congeners	Value	% RSD	% Recovery ^a	Value	% RSD	% Recovery
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDD	270	9.1	68	270	0.0	72
1,2,3,7,8-P ₅ CDD	24	12	74	22	3.3	81
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDD	23	8.3	76	24	3.0	80
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDD	83	3.6	78	87	0.8	54
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDD	60	6.2	77	57	7.4	67
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDD	720	6.7	81	720	1.0	79
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDF ^b	100	7.3	68	82	2.6	70
1,2,3,7,8-P ₅ CDF	39	14	74	36	3.9	76
2,3,4,7,8-P ₅ CDF	62	5.5	79	60	0.0	75
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDF	740	5.3	81	690	0.0	70
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	120	6.2	81	120	0.0	50
2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	45	9.0	82	60	1.2	69
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDF	4.9	31	84	5.3	15	70
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDF	2600	6.7	85	2500	0.0	74
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H _z CDF	160	5.5	83	160	0.0	72

Values are corrected for recovery of ¹³C-labeled surrogates. ^aRefers to recovery of corresponding ¹³C-labeled surrogate.

^bMaximum possible concentration due to potential chromatographic overlap.

Highly Contaminated Sediment Samples

The ASE technique was also evaluated with two sediment samples containing high levels of coextractables and oil (Table 8). Aliquots of these samples were taken

from a larger container as quantitatively as possible but were not nearly as homogeneous as the rigorously prepared reference materials.

Recoveries were outside of control limits (25 to 150%) for T₄CDF and P₅CDF Hamilton Harbor ASE data,

Table 7. Average Values (ng/kg) from HS-2—Comparison of Soxhlet vs. ASE						
Group Totals	Soxhlet Extraction (n=4)			Accelerated Solvent Extraction (n=2)		
droup rotais	Value	% RSD	Isomers	Value	% RSD	Isomers
Total T₄CDD	3.9	14	2	2.5	34	5
Total P₅CDD	17	7.8	6	10	10	9
Total H ₆ CDD	510	5.6	8	570	1.3	7
Total H ₇ CDD	4700	8.3	2	5100	11	2
Total O ₈ CDD	6500	4.2	1	7100	0.0	1
Total T₄CDF	39	11	13	24	3.0	14
Total P₅CDF	33	13	8	28	0.0	11
Total H ₆ CDF	89	3.2	6	87	12	10
Total H ₇ CDF	293	3.3	4	310	0.0	4
Total O ₈ CDF	300	3.8	1	280	2.6	1
Congeners	Value	% RSD	% Recovery ^a	Value	% RSD	% Recovery
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDD	n.d. (1)		62	n.d. (1)		71
1,2,3,7,8-P ₅ CDD	1.6	4.6	69	n.d. (1)		75
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDD	4.5	4.8	74	5.2	11	73
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDD	19	4.3	75	21	0.0	50
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDD	24	4.3	74	28	2.6	61
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDD	1200	8.1	80	1300	0.0	93
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDF ^b	8.5	11	62	6.6	5.4	65
1,2,3,7,8-P ₅ CDF	1.9	17	68	2.0	0.0	72
2,3,4,7,8-P ₅ CDF	3.7	7.9	71	3.7	3.8	59
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDF	17	7.3	79	17	4.3	70
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	3.7	5.6	80	4.0	5.4	49
2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	3.7	18	81	4.4	3.2	61
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDF	n.d. (1)		83	n.d. (1)	0.0	75
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDF	91	1.6	83	96	3.7	82
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H ₇ CDF	5.2	6.7	84	5.3	6.7	83

n.d.=not detected. Detection limit, in ppt, given in parentheses. Values not used for statistical calculations. Values are corrected for recovery of ¹³C-labeled surrogates. ^aRefers to recovery of corresponding ¹³C-labeled surrogate. ^bMaximum possible concentration due to potential chromatographic overlap.

6 Extraction of Polychlorinated Dibenzo-p-dioxins and Polychlorinated Dibenzofurans from **Environmental Samples Using Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE)**

which likely accounts for the differences in these data points when compared to the Soxhlet extraction. Similar differences in the group total data were observed for the Parrots Bay samples (e.g., T_4 CDF), but no recoveryrelated problems were observed in this case. Generally, the data compare favorably between ASE and Soxhlet for the recovery of PCDDs and PCDFs from these heavily contaminated sediments.

Recommended ASE Conditions for PCDDs and PCDFs

Based on the results of these experiments, the conditions listed in Table 9 are recommended for the extraction of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans. The conditions may have to be adjusted slightly to optimize extraction efficiency depending on the sample. Increasing the temperature would be the first parameter to change; increasing the extraction time would be next.

Oroun Tatala	Hamilton	Harbor	Parrots Bay		
Group Totals	Soxhlet	ASE	Soxhlet	ASE	
Total T ₄ CDD	505	14 ²	393	485	
Total P ₅ CDD	63 ¹²	15 ⁵	8710	66 ¹⁰	
Total H ₆ CDD	2207	180 ⁷	2306	2007	
Total H ₇ CDD	850 ²	810 ²	580 ²	530 ²	
Total O ₈ CDD	3100	3100	1900	1600	
Total T₄CDF	37017	130 ^{11a}	40014	27018	
Total P₅CDF	290 ¹³	110 ^{11a}	180 ⁸	170 ¹³	
Total H ₆ CDF	24014	160 ¹¹	2306	23010	
Total H ₇ CDF	3504	290 ⁴	4004	3604	
Total O ₈ CDF	270	210	510	370	
Congeners					
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDD	3.7	3.1	19	19	
1,2,3,7,8-P ₅ CDD	5.1	5.4	8.3	6.0	
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDD	6.4	7.2	8.6	6.7	
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDD	27	26	26	17	
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDD	20	28	24	18	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDD	460	430	280	250	
2,3,7,8-T ₄ CDF ^b	61	44 ^a	80	48	
1,2,3,7,8-P ₅ CDF	14	14	n.d. (20)	9.8	
2,3,4,7,8-P ₅ CDF	26	25ª	22	14	
1,2,3,4,7,8-H ₆ CDF	27	37	79	59	
1,2,3,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	17	16	n.d. (20)	15	
2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₆ CDF	14	14	21	11	
1,2,3,7,8,9-H ₆ CDF	n.d. (2)	1.6	4.9	n.d. (1)	
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-H ₇ CDF	130	130	270	220	
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-H ₇ CDF	14	13	17	12	

Values are corrected for recovery of isotopically labeled surrogate standards.

n.d.=not detected. Detection limit, in ppt, given in parentheses.

Superscripts indicate the number of isomers detected.

^aRecoveries outside the range 25% to 150%; results are not to be used for regulatory compliance purposes.

^bMaximum possible concentration due to potential chromatographic overlap.

CONCLUSIONS

The data show that ASE is essentially equivalent to classical extraction procedures such as Soxhlet for the extraction of PCDDs and PCDFs from environmental matrices. As an extraction technique, ASE shows great promise because it can be applied to a wide variety of compound classes. In addition to being equivalent to Soxhlet, ASE can be performed in a fraction of the time (a total of 22 min compared to 18 h) and with much less solvent (15 mL compared to 250 mL).

Table 9. Recommended Conditions for ASE Extraction of PCDDs and PCDFs

Solvent	Toluene or toluene/acetic acid (5%, v/v) if HCl pretreatment currently used
Temperature	175–200 °C
Pressure	13.8 MPa (2000 psi)
Static Time	5—15 min
Flush Volume	60–70%
Purge Time	60–100 s
Number of Static Cycles	2 or 3

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The contributions of David S. Waddell, Tereza Gobran, and Vin Khurana from the Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy, Ontario, Canada, and of Dr. Scheutwinkel and Dr. Mattulat from Dr. Scheutwinkel GmbH, Berlin, Germany are acknowledged.

REFERENCES

 "The Determination of Polychlorinated Dibenzop-dioxins and Dibenzofurans in Soil and Sediment by GC-MS"; Method E3151B; Ontario Ministry of Environment and Energy: 1993.

ASE is a registered trademark of Dionex Corporation. TSQ is a registered trademark of Finnigan Corporation.

Speed • Simplicity • Solutions

Dionex Corporation

1228 Titan Way P.O. Box 3603 Sunnyvale, CA 94088-3603 (408) 737-0700

U.S./Canada (847) 295-7500 **South America** Brazil (55) 11 3731 5140

North America

Europe

Austria (43) 1 616 51 25 Benelux (31) 20 683 9768; (32) 3 353 4294 Denmark (45) 36 36 90 90 France (33) 1 39 30 01 10 Germany (49) 6126 991 0 Ireland (353) 1 644 0064 Italy (39) 02 51 62 1267 Sweden (46) 8 473 3380 Switzerland (41) 62 205 9966 United Kingdom (44) 1276 691722

Asia Pacific

Australia (61) 2 9420 5233 China (852) 2428 3282 India (91) 22 2764 2735 Japan (81) 6 6885 1213 Korea (82) 2 2653 2580 Singapore (65) 6289 1190 Taiwan (886) 2 8751 6655

www.dionex.com

LPN 0812-05 PDF 4/12

ST

9001

DIONE

LPN 0812-05 PDF 4/12 ©2011 Dionex Corporation