

ThermoFisher SCIENTIFIC

2016 iQuan Series: Pesticide Quantitation on the Thermo Scientific[™] TSQ Quantiva[™]

Craig Dufresne and Kevin McHale, Content Creators

The Whole Workflow ...





Expectation from LC-MS Technology

ł

1

Hundreds of pesticides identified and quantified in one run

A comprehensive platform solution with hardware and software





Demand for lower LLOQ with higher selectivity, specificity, and confidence

Easier, faster option to final results while reducing cost/sample



Expectation from LC-MS Technology

Hundreds of pesticides identified and quantified in one run

A comprehensive platform solution with hardware and software



Ì

1



Pesticide Explorer – One Problem...Multiple Solutions!

Thermo Scientific™ TSQ Endura™ Triple Quadrupole MS	Thermo Scientific™ TSQ Quantiva™ Triple Quadrupole MS	Thermo Scientific™ Q Exactive™ Focus MS	Thermo Scientific™ Q Exactive ™ Focus MS		
Standard QUAN	Premium QUAN	HRAM QUAN	HRAM SCREEN QUAN		







OPTON-30427

- Includes Software, Libraries, Methods, and Documentation, and Basic Pesticide Standards
- Optional Extended and Recommended Pesticides can be purchased
- All the sample prep materials can be purchased too



Analytical Challenges of Residue Analysis

- Sample variability (matrix)
- Compound characteristics
- Number of samples
- Number of analytes monitored
- Low levels controlled (<10 ng/g)
- Fast response required



- Develop an instrument method for the separation of the Restek 525.2 Organonitrogen pesticide reference sample (Restek part number 33012) as a teaching example
- Understand the processes of *tuning*, *calibration*, *optimization*, and *method building*
- Explain which parameters in the method can be changed to increase data quality
- Analyze the resulting chromatography and data to evaluate whether further changes could help



Alachlor (15972-60-8) Ametryn (834-12-8) Atraton (1610-17-9) Atrazine (1912-24-9) Bromacil (314-40-9) Butachlor (23184-66-9) Butylate (2008-41-5) Chlorpropham (101-21-3) Cyanazine (Bladex) (21725-46-2) Cycloate (1134-23-2) Diphenamid (957-51-7) EPTC (759-94-4) Etridiazole (2593-15-9) Fenarimol (60168-88-9) Fluridone (Sonar) (59756-60-4) Hexazinone (Velpar) (51235-04-2) Metolachlor (51218-45-2) Metribuzin (21087-64-9)

MGK-264 (113-48-4) Molinate (2212-67-1) Napropamide (Devrinol) (15299-99-7) Norflurazon (27314-13-2) Pebulate (1114-71-2) Prometon (1610-18-0) Prometryne (7287-19-6) Propachlor (1918-16-7) Propazine (139-40-2) Propyzamide (23950-58-5) Simazine (122-34-9) Simetryn (1014-70-6) Tebuthiuron (34014-18-1) Terbacil (5902-51-2) Terbutryn (886-50-0) Triadimefon (43121-43-3) Tricyclazole (Beam) (41814-78-2) Trifluralin (1582-09-8) Vernolate (1929-77-7)

Process Flow Chart



CIENTI

- This method was chosen to produce that fastest possible separation of the 37 component Restek 525.2 organonitrogen pesticide mix
- Ultimate 3000 RSLC with a HPG pump and a temperature controlled column compartment
- Accucore[™] Vanquish[™] C₁₈⁺ 2.1 mm x 50 mm, 1.5 um (PN 27101-052130) HPLC column running at 400 uL/min
- Gradient:
 - Mobile Phase A: 0.1% Formic Acid in Water (PN LS118-1)
 - Mobile Phase B: 0.1% Formic Acid in Acetonitrile (PN LS120-1)
 - 1 minute gradient form 10% B to 90% B
 - 3.5 minute total run time



Optimizing Source Conditions When You Have Pure Compounds



CIENTI

11

- Place the mass spectrometer into operate mode
- Tee into the LC flow with your compound of interest (1 ng/uL is good starting level)
- Set the gases / temperatures using the 'Get Defaults'
- Connect the tee outlet into the mass spectrometer



Compounds Teed in for Optimization



Compound in Syringe Pump

- Part Numbers (www.idex-hs.com):
 - F-130: Fingertight fittings, PEEK
 - P-727: Tee, PEEK
 - 1535: Red PEEK Tubing, 0.005" ID
 - 9013: Syringe Adaptor (Blunt syringe) or
 - P-642: Luer Adaptor (Luer syringe)

Tee connected to LC output



Use 'Get Defaults' to Set Basic Instrument Parameters

TSQ Tune Page

TSQ Quantiva Tune Application 2.0.1292.15		
U ➡ Positive ₽ Image: Constraint of the state of the s	Valve 1-6 A • Syringe OFF • Record Record	rmo\Data view Changing
ION SOURCE DEFINE SCAN CALIBRATI	N	01
Ion Source Optimization Current LC Flow (µL/r in) 400 Get Defau Ion Source Type H-ESI Pos Ion Spray Voltage (V) 4200 Neg Ion Spray Voltage (V) 2500 Sheath Gas (Arb) 45 Aux Gas (Arb) 13 Sweep Gas (Arb) 1 Ion Transfer Tube Temp (°C) 340 Vaporizer Temp (°C) 360	90 80 70 60 50 4136 4136 4136 4136 10 20 10 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	90 90 90 90 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 21E+006 + p H-ESI FULL: Q1MS 44 44 285.33 299.33 371.44 391.44 429.22 479.44 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40
*		





Thermo Fisher SCIENTIFIC

Parameters to Optimize

- One compound is used to optimize *ion source conditions* to make the most stable spray:
 - Probe Position
 - Sheath gas
 - Aux gas
 - Sweep gas
 - Spray Voltage
 - Source Temperatures
- Each compound is used to optimize the mass spectrometer parameters for the best possible response and selectivity:
 - Precursor m/z
 - RF-Lens voltage
 - Product ions / SRM transitions
 - Collision Energies
 - Ion Polarity











Optimization of Source Conditions Using Automated Routines





Copy Source Conditions

TSQ Tune Page



Paste Source Conditions

TSQ Instrument Method Editor

🔢 Unitiled - Thermo Xcalibur Instructent Setup	\$	×
File TSQ Quantiva Help		
Meth d Editor Global Parameters Scan Para neters Summary Method T eline		
Diones method uration # 0.833 1.667 2.500 5 5 5 5 5	3,333 4,167 5 New Clear Mixed Scan M Clear	ode
Global Parameters	3.333	
	I on Source Type H-ESI Spray Voltage © Static © Time Interendent	•
Surfrage	Positive Ion (/) 4200.00 Negative Ion (/) 2500.00	
	Current LC Flow (µL/min) 0 Get Defaults Sheath Gas (Arb) 45 Aur Gas (Arb) 15	
Diver Vare B	Sweep Gas (Arb) 2 Jon Transfer Tube Temp (°C) 340	
Contact Cosure	APPL Lamp Not in Use	-
Ready	Paste	SAVED



Compound Optimization When the Transitions Are Known



CIENTI

22

Compound Optimization of the Precursor

TSQ Quantiva Tune Application 2.0.1292.15							
	🔲 🕂 Positive 💡 🛞 Valve		c:\Thermo\Data				
Thermo TSQ QUANTIVA	► Profile R Syringe ► Avg. () OFF ▼	ON Record	Rawdata		20160728041618		View Normal
ION SOURCE DEFINE SCAN CALIBRATION							۰ ی
Scan	Optimization						0
Sample Injection Mode: Syringe		90			90		SE SE
Mass Input Options		80			80		STA
Formula (add adduct to formula)		30			70		8
© m/z	304.1	70			/0		listor
Compared Name	Nerfluration	60			60		
		50			50		RITES
QL Resolution (PWHW)	1	40			40		FAVOR
Charge State		30			30		
Source Fragmentation (V)	0	20			20		
Precursor - Optimize RF Lens		10			10		
Adjust Precursor Mass							
Product		10 20	30 40 50 60 70	80 90	10 20 30	40 50 60 70	80 90
CID Gas (mTorr) Product Input Options:	1.5	# 12072 RT: 14333:12	2 NL: 5.12E+007 + p H-ESI FULL: Q	21MS			
O Unknown Product Ions							
Known Product Ions		100-	212.	.11 226.22			
Collision Energy Start (V)	5	90-		l ſ			
Collision Energy End (V)	55	80-					
Use Collision Energy Step		70-		228.11			
Collision Energy Steps	10	60- 50-					
Adjust Product Mass		40-	100.11	242.33		990.22	
Product Mass	Import Export 🕂 🙁	30-	190.11			000.22	
Product Mass		20 115.11	171.22 200.11	253.3	33		
1 299		10-137.2	147.33	ML ML ML L	275.33 304.22	326.11 352.22 36	7.22 393.11
	Optimize	100 120	140 160 180 200	220 240	260 280 300	320 340 360	380 400
s		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	🍂 🔣 🔗 🖻						▲ 🔀 🗱 🖷 12:50 PM

Optimize Each Compound

Which Precursor Do We Use?

7SQ Quantiva Tune Application 2.0.1292.15							
	Positive Positive Statue		c:\Thermo\Data				
SCIENTIFIC TSQ QUANTIVA	Image: March Profile ⊮ ✓ Syringe Image: Description of the strength of the strengend of the strength of the strength of the strengend of the st	ON Record	Rawdata		_20160728041618		View Normal
ION SOURCE DEFINE SCAN CALIBRATION							۰.
Scan	Optimization						3
Sample Injection Mode: Syringe		90			90		VIDS
Mass Input Options Formula (add adduct to formula) m/z		80			80		ORV ST/
m/z value	304.1	60			60		HIST
Compound Name	Norflurazon	50			50		
Q1 Resolution (FWHM)	0.7 *	40			40		ORITE
Charge State	1	30			30		FAV
Source Fragmentation (V)	0	20			20		
✓ Precursor - Optimize RF Lens		E 20			20		
✓ Adjust Precursor Mass		10			10		
Product		10 20	30 40 50 60	70 80 90	10 20	30 40 50 60 7	70 80 90
CID Gas (mTorr)	1.5	# 12072 RT: 14333:1	2 NL: 5.12E+007 + p H-ESI	FULL: Q1MS			
Product Input Options:							
 Unknown Product Ions Known Product Ions 				212.11			
Collision Energy Start (V)	5	90		226.22			
Collision Energy End (V)	55	80-					
✓ Use Collision Energy Step		70-		228.11			
Collision Energy Steps	10	60-					
Adjust Product Mass		50-		242.33	I		
	E	30-	190.11			330.22	
Product Mass	Import Export T	20- 115 11		2	253.33		
1 299		10- 137	171.22 2 22 147.33	202.11	275.33 304	.22 228 11 331 2 252 22 4	002.00
			\sim		-dtharpha-anthe-pathonyalkangel		107.22 393.11
	Optimize	100 120	140 160 180	200 220 240	260 280 300	0 360	380 400
	🍀 🔣 🔗 🖻						▲ 😿 🗱 🖷 📫 🖬



Optimizes Precursor m/z



Thermo Fisher

SCIENTIFIC



25





Using Product Ions from a TraceFinder Compound Database



Known Transitions



Choosing Transitions from mzcloud.org



Compound Optimization of Known Product Ions





Typing in the Known Product lons

TSQ Quantive Tune Application 2.0.1292.15					
Thermo TSQ QUANTIVA		A +	c/Thermol/Data Rawdata	20160728041618	View View
ION SOURCE DEFINE SCAN CAUBRATION					•
Compound Name Q1. Resolution (FWHM) Charge State Source Fragmentation (V) I Precursor - Optimize RF Lens I Precursor Mass I Product CID Gas (mTorr) Product Input Options:	Northurazon 0.7 * 1 0 15 *	90 80 70 60 50 40 30		90 80 70 60 50 40 30	
© Unknown Product Ions	5 55 10	20 10 10 20 # 12879 RT: 14337:1	30 40 50 60 70 80 90 6 NL: 4.86E+007 + p H-ESI FULL: Q1MS 226.22	20 10 10 20 30 40 50 60.	70 80 90
Product Mess Product Mess Product Mess Product Mess 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 2 4 5 1 4 5 1 4 5 1 1	orport Epport + X	100 90- 80- 70- 60- 50- 40- 30- 20- 115.11 10- 117.00 0- 100 120	212.33 228 11 190.22 147.11 140 160 180 200 220 240	242 22 253 22 262 22 275 11 304 22 326 22 260 280 300 320 340 360	385 11 393 33 5 380 400



The Instrument Stabilizes the Collision gas in Q2





The Product Ions are Optimized





Breakdown Curves





Copying the Transitions to the Instrument Method





Drag and Drop SRM Scan

TSQ Instrument Method Editor









Compound Optimization When the Transitions Aren't Known



Thermo Fisher

- Try to avoid choosing common losses such as ammonia and water as these add little specificity to the SRM transition
- Be aware of using high collision energies and generating fragment ions that are very small and common to a large number of compounds
- In general its desirable to choose five product ions:
 - One QUAN ION for measuring peak area for your curve
 - Two CONFIRMING IONS to use for ion ratio measurements to validate proper peak picking during complex matrix quantitation
 - Two back up ions to use in case of matrix interference requiring the removal of a quan or confirming ion
- Mass Frontier is an excellent package for theoretically fragmenting a ion and evaluating its potential product ions



Setting Up Compound Optimization to Find Unknown Transitions



Unknown Transitions



The Instrument Finds the Best Product Ions





Creates Breakdown Curve









Compound Optimization Results

							6
			Optimizatio	m Results		un di	mport Export 🕂 🕷
	Compound	Precursor (m/z)	RF Lens (V)	Product (m/z)	Collision Energy (V)	Intensity	Source Fragmentation
1	Norflurazon	304.07	110.584	160.071	34,219	649623.394	0
2	Norfluration	304.07	110.584	264.021	29.062	182077.557	0
3	Norflurazon	304.07	110.564	87.99	46.961	353444.207	0
4	Norfluracon	304.07	110.584	284.04	24.713	4002125.111	ò
5	Norflurazon	304,07	110.584	140.03	39.225	529835.62	0
							3



Drag and Drop SRM Scan

Untitled - Therr	o Xcalibur Instrument Setup			
<u> 1988</u>	Method Editor Global Parameters Scan Parameters Summary			
332	Method Timeline 0.333 1.667 2.2500 3.333 4.167 5			
*Dionex	Method Duration 1 SKM		N	2W
Chromatography			- Q + De	ete Mixed Scan Mode
Ċ			CI	tar
	Everiment 1			
15U Uuantiva	SRM Table Import Export +	×		
	Compound Retention Time (min) RT Window (min) Polarity Precursor (m/z) Product (m/z) Collision Energy (/)	51	(M Properties	
	SRM 1 name 1 1 Positive 200 100 0		Use Cycle Time	
	Full Scan Q1		Cycle Time (sec)	1
	Euler A2		Use Calibrated RF Lens	V
			Q1 Resolution (FWHM)	0.7 •
	Production Scan		Q3 Resolution (FWHM)	0.7 •
	Precursor Ion Scan		CID Gas (mTorr)	1.5 •
	Notifed Lass Gran		Source Fragmentation (V)	0
			Chrom Filter (sec)	3
	SIM Q1		Display Retention Time	
	SIM Q3		Copy Exper	iment Time
			(
	- den			
Ready				NOT SAVED
				1.00







Jntitled - Therm	no Xcalibur Instrument Setup														-	
TSQ Quantiva	Help															
2 8 <u>8</u>	<u>X ?</u>										 					
5.00	Method Edit	or	Global Par	ameters Scar	Parameters	Sum	mary									ESEST
		.01		ameters Scar	i i alameters	Juli	mary									
3	Method Timeline			0.833		1.667		2.50	1	3 333	4.167	5				
iex	Method Duration 1							SRM			 			1	lew	
natography	(min)													- Q + D	elete Mixed S	ican N
	5														lear	
																_
 Quantiva		Experim	nent 1													
	LL Scan Typer							SRM Table				Import Export 🕂		M Deservation		
	III Scan Types	Co	mpound	Retention Time (min)	RT Window (min)	Polarity	Precursor (m/z)	Product (m/z)	Collision Energy (V)				SK SK	im properties		
	SRM	1 Ala	chlor	2.5	5	Positive	270.195	162.155	21					Use Cycle Time	V	
	Full Scan 01	2 Ala	chlor	2.5	5	Positive	270.195	238.073	13					Cycle Time (sec)	1	
		3 Am	etryn	2.5	5	Positive	228.18	68.32	38					Use Calibrated RF Lens		
	Full Scan Q3	4 Am	etryn	2.5	5	Positive	228.18	186.102	21							
		5 Atra	aton	2.5	5	Positive	212.25	100.199	30					Q1 Resolution (FWHM)	0.7	
	Product Ion Scan	6 Atra	aton	2.5	5	Positive	212.25	170.137	20					Q3 Resolution (FWHM)	0.7	
	Precursor Ion Scan	7 Atra	azine	2.5	5	Positive	216.1	104.164	31					CID Gas (mTorr)	1.5	
		8 Atra	azine	2.5	5	Positive	216.1	174.063	20							_
	Neutral Loss Scan	9 Bro	macil	2.5	5	Positive	261.095	187.872	30				_	Source Fragmentation (V)	0	_
	SIM 01	10 Bro	macil	2.5	5	Positive	261.095	204.989	17					Chrom Filter (sec)	3	
		11 But	achlor	2.5	5	Positive	312.17	162.195	26					Display Retention Time		
	SIM Q3	12 But	achlor	2.5	5	Positive	312.17	238.136	10				_	Conv Expe	eriment Time	
		13 But	tylate	2.5	5	Positive	218.21	41.518	27				_	(+) -+-		
		14 But	tylate	2.5	5	Positive	218.21	57.428	19				_			
	со	15 Cya	inazine	2.5	5	Positive	241.15	104.135	32				_			
		16 Cya	nazine	2.5	5	Positive	241.15	214.103	19				_			
		17 Cyc	loate	2.5	5	Positive	216.215	55.422	29				- 1 /			
		18 Cyc	loate	2.5	5	Positive	216.215	83.301	19				-			
		19 Dip	henamid	2.5	5	Positive	240.215	134.16	24				-			
	90000000	20 Dip	henamid	2.5	5	Positive	240.215	167.134	25				-			
		21 EPT	د : ح	2.5	5	Positive	190.105	43.693	21				-			
		22 EPT	C .	2.5	5	Positive	190.105	86.171	16				-			
	9000000	23 Flu	ridone	2.5	5 F	Positive	220.005	290.126	34				-			
		24 Flu	azinone	2.5	5	Positive	253 245	71 332	32				-			
		25 He	azinone	2.3	3	Positive	200.240	/1.332	32							
ŀ																NOT
													_			



Using an LCMS Method to Find Missing Compounds



CIENTI

47

Using Product Ions Scans to Find Missing SRMs



Use Full MS, Product Ion Scans & Chromatography to Get Transitions

Thermo Fisher SCIENTIFIC

Finding lons to Add





Adding the New Compounds into the Method

va <u>H</u> elp													
X ?													
			Y										
Method Edi	tor Globa	Parameters Sca	n Parameters	Sum	mary								
Method Timeline													
Method Duration	#	0.833		1.667		2.50 SRM		3.333	4.167	 5	_	4	lew
(min)												- Q + D	elete 🗌 Mi
5													lear
	Experiment 1												
LL Scan Types						SRM Table				li port Export 🕂	×		
Jan Scarrypes	Compour	d Retention Time (min)	RT Window (min)	Polarity	Precursor (m/z)	Product (m/z)	Collision Energy (V)					Toperties	
SRM	1 Alachlor	2.5	5	Positive	270.195	162.155	21					se Cycle Time	1
Full Scan Q1	2 Alachlor	2.5	5	Positive	270.195	238.073	13					Cycle Time (sec)	1
	3 Ametryn	2.5	5	Positive	228.18	68.32	38					Use Calibrated RF Lens	7
Full Scan Q3	4 Ametryn	2.5	5	Positive	228.18	186.102	21					OI Brock time (DAU INA)	07
Draduet Ion Sean	5 Atraton	2.5	5	Positive	212.25	100.199	30					QI Resolution (FWHM)	0.7
Production Scan	6 Atraton	2.5	5	Positive	212.25	170.137	20					Q3 Resolution (FWHM)	0.7
Precursor Ion Scan	7 Atrazine	2.5	5	Positive	216.1	104.164	31					CID Gas (mTorr)	1.5
	8 Atrazine	2.5	5	Positive	216.1	174.063	20					Source Fragmentation (V)	0
Neutral Loss Scan	9 Bromacil	2.5	5	Positive	261.095	187.872	30						-
SIM Q1	10 Bromacil	2.5	5	Positive	261.095	204.989	17					Chrom Filter (sec)	3
	11 Butachlor	2.5	5	Positive	312.17	162.195	26					Display Retention Time	V
SIM Q3	12 Butachlor	2.5	5	Positive	312.17	238.136	10					Copy Expe	eriment Time
OED	13 Butylate	2.5	5	Positive	218.21	41.518	2/						
	14 Dutyiate	2.5	5	Positive	210.21	104 135	32						
C0	16 Cyanazine	2.5	5	Positive	241.15	214 103	19						
	17 Cycloate	2.5	5	Positive	216.215	55.422	29						
	18 Cycloate	2.5	5	Positive	216.215	83.301	19						
	19 Diphenam	id 2.5	5	Positive	240.215	134.16	24						
	20 Diphenam	id 2.5	5	Positive	240.215	167.134	25						
	21 EPTC	2.5	5	Positive	190.105	43.693	21						
	22 EPTC	2.5	5	Positive	190.105	86.171	16						
	23 Fluridone	2.5	5	Positive	330.085	290.126	34						
	24 Fluridone	2.5	5	Positive	330.085	310.117	31						
	25 Hexazinor	e 2.5	5	Positive	253.245	71.332	32						
											-		



SRM Properties – Cycle Time







Q1 Resolution and Chrom Filter



The resolution of a quadrupole is the width of mass ranges which pass through the quadrupole filter. Generally, we start off with a large width like 0.7 (gives higher signal heights) and then narrow the width when looking at a low level standard in a proper sample matrix. The narrowing only increases signal-to-noise ratios if an interference is present that the increase in mass resolution can remove.

The Chrom Filter is a set of complex algorithms which provide high and low frequency filtering common to all triple quadrupoles. You need to enter the LC full width at half height in seconds.



Test the final LC-MS/MS Method



Chromatogram of All Components Successfully Quantified





Timed SRM

Timed SRM Chart





Quantiva_Pesticide	s20 #1 RT: 1	1.1502
Total Ion Current:	1823.19	
Scan Low Mass:	99.10	
Scan High Mass:	126.10	
Scan Start Time (m	in):	1.70
Scan Number:	2143	
Base Peak Intensity	/:	1417.94
Base Peak Mass:	126.10	
Scan Mode: +	c ESI SRM	ms2 223.100 [99.099-99.101, 126.099-126.101]

TSQ Quantiva Data:

0.006
Yes
1







LOQ of Atraton (14.7% RSD)





Evaluating Atraton LOQ (RSD Less than 20%, 6 injections)

Atraton				
Filename	Specified Amount	Calculated Amount	%Diff	%RSD-AMT
Quantiva_Pesticides02	1.000	0.921	-8%	14.7%
Quantiva_Pesticides03	1.000	0.956	-4%	14.7%
Quantiva_Pesticides04	1.000	0.907	-9%	14.7%
Quantiva_Pesticides05	5.000	4.676	-6%	8.5%
Quantiva_Pesticides06	5.000	5.269	5%	8.5%
Quantiva_Pesticides07	5.000	4.328	-13%	8.5%
Quantiva_Pesticides08	10.000	8.011	-20%	7.1%
Quantiva_Pesticides09	10.000	8.725	-13%	7.1%
Quantiva_Pesticides10	10.000	8.924	-11%	7.1%
Quantiva_Pesticides11	50.000	43.120	-14%	2.7%
Quantiva_Pesticides12	50.000	42.678	-15%	2.7%
Quantiva_Pesticides13	50.000	45.200	-10%	2.7%
Quantiva_Pesticides14	100.000	81.997	-18%	1.2%
Quantiva_Pesticides15	100.000	84.390	-16%	1.2%
Quantiva_Pesticides16	100.000	83.189	-17%	1.2%
Quantiva_Pesticides17	500.000	401.903	-20%	6.5%
Quantiva_Pesticides18	500.000	426.094	-15%	6.5%
Quantiva_Pesticides19	500.000	434.764	-13%	6.5%
Quantiva_Pesticides20	1000.000	1018.155	2%	1.5%
Quantiva_Pesticides21	1000.000	1023.535	2%	1.5%
Quantiva_Pesticides22	1000.000	1045.857	5%	1.5%
Quantiva_Pesticides23	5000.000	5595.561	12%	1.9%
Quantiva_Pesticides24	5000.000	5625.761	13%	1.9%
Quantiva_Pesticides25	5000.000	5725.954	15%	1.9%

Second set of injections after unknowns not shown for clarity

Conclusion

- Conclusion
 - A workflow for developing a triple quadrupole method was shown
 - Please join us for other sessions showing:
 - Maintenance of your instrument
 - Software Analysis using TraceFinder

