#### ThermoFisher SCIENTIFIC

The world leader in serving science

High-precision GD-MS analysis of Nickel super-alloys: major components and ultra-trace metals

#### Joachim Hinrichs, L. Rottmann M. Hamester

## What is a DC-GDMS good for?







# What is a DC-GDMS good for?



- Analysis of ultra-trace to matrix elements
- Sputtering and ionization are separated processes ⇒ only minimal matrix effects ⇒ semi-quantitative analyses without calibration

[ppb]				Sample #1	Sample #2
Isotope	Mass	Resolution	Calibration	Conc. [ppb]	Conc. [ppb]
Na23(LR)	23 L	R	STD RSF	1.1	1.2
Mg24(MR)	24 N	MR	Cal	0.50	0.57
AI27(MR)	27 N	MR	Cal	0.67	7.79
Si28(MR)	28 N	MR	Cal	32	14
P31(MR)	31 N	MR	Cal	1.7	2.3
CI35(MR)	35 N	ИR	STD RSF	408	485
K39(HR)	39 H	HR	STD RSF	1.8	1.2
Ca44(MR)	44 N	MR	STD RSF	2.4	4.2
Sc45(MR)	45 N	ИR	STD RSF	0.07	0.01
Ti48(MR)	48 N	MR	Cal	4.7	1.7
V51(MR)	51 N	MR	STD RSF	0.04	0.10
Cr52(MR)	52 N	ИR	Cal	0.41	0.37
Mn55(MR)	55 N	MR	Cal	0.06	0.08
Fe56(MR)	56 N	MR	Cal	0.36	0.77
Ni58(MR)	58 N	MR	Cal	0.40	0.58
Co59(MR)	59 N	MR	Cal	0.10	0.07
Zn68(MR)	68 N	MR	Cal	3.8	5.2
Ga69(MR)	69 N	MR	STD RSF	0.43	0.40
Ge74(HR)	74 H	HR	STD RSF	3.4	3.5
As75(MR)	75 N	MR	Cal	1.9	0.9
Se77(MR)	77 🛚	MR	Cal	15	20
Br79(MR)	79 N	MR	STD RSF=1	2.8	2.4
Rb85(MR)	85 N	MR	STD RSF=1	0.06	0.07
Sr88(LR)	88 L	_R	STD RSF	0.07	0.10
Y89(MR)	89 N	MR	STD RSF	0.06	0.06
Zr90(MR)	90 N	MR	Cal	0.18	0.20
Nb93(MR)	93 N	MR	STD RSF	0.02	0.07
Mo97(MR)	97 N	MR	STD RSF	0.71	0.64
Ru102(HR)	102 H	HR	STD RSF	0.52	0.81
Rh103(HR)	103 H	HR	STD RSF	9.4	7.4



### Recent publication: Pisonero, Fernández, Günther

CRITICAL REVIEW

www.rsc.org/jaas | Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry

#### Critical revision of GD-MS, LA-ICP-MS and SIMS as inorganic mass spectrometric techniques for direct solid analysis

Jorge Pisonero, \*\* Beatriz Fernández<sup>b</sup> and Detlef Günther<sup>c</sup>

Received 6th March 2009, Accepted 19th May 2009 First published as an Advance Article on the web 8th June 2009

General capabilities. The application of glow discharge devices as primary spectrochemical sources for direct solid elemental analysis in mass spectrometry is increasing because they offer several important advantages, such as a high depth resolution (~nm), fast sputtering rate (in the order of  $\mu$ m/min), multielement capabilities (most of the elements of the periodic table can be determined), isotopic information in a relatively simple spectrum, low matrix effects, low limits of detection (in the range

of  $\mu g/g-ng/g$ ), and ease of use.

Absolute and relative sensitivity factors for quantification. The low matrix dependence of GD-MS in comparison with other solid state analytical techniques provides absolute sensitivity factors which are matrix independent in a first approximation.



## Components of the Element GD





### Requirements on detection system for GD

- High dynamic range
  - From <ppb to 100% matrix,</li>
    i.e. > 12 orders of magnitude
  - Total ion current used for evaluation
  - Low noise
- Linear
  - Semi quantitative results without standards
  - Calibration at higher concentrations than the samples possible







## Detection Power (Th in Copper, Low Resolution)

#### Theor. Detection Limit:

2.10<sup>11</sup> cps Signal@0.2 cps Noise :DL theor. : 1 ppt



Integration time per sample: 100 ms Th, 1 ms Cu; 20 samples per peak; 10 Spectra



Overview

- Use of detection system: Analysis of Ni-Alloys ("Super-Alloys")
- Low detection limits: solar silicon
- Special aspects of solid sampling at ppb level





## **Overview: sample matrices**





# **Application examples**

#### Nickel super alloys

- High resistance to corrosion
- Extreme temperatures and ΔT
- Aviation/Aerospace/ Turbines/Reactors....
- Analysis from ultratrace [ppb] to matrix required

#### Silicon

- Solar cells
- Photovoltaic efficiency
- Production control
- Low ppb LOD's required



# **Application examples Ni Alloys**

#### Challenges

- Reliable and routine determination from matrix to ultratrace elements
- Industrial production control
- Large number of alloy components
- Precise calibration with CRM
- Most important: soft metals at ppm/sub-ppm level strongly deteriorate alloy quality





### Repeat GD-MS analysis of NIST 1249 (Inconel 718)

Element	Unit	Spot 1	Spot 2	Spot 3	Spot 4	Spot 5	Spot 6	Spot 7	Spot 8	Spot 9	Spot 10
Ni	[%]	56.9	56.7	57.2	57.8	57.7	57.5	56.9	57.6	57.3	56.9
Fe	[%]	18.0	18.1	17.9	18.0	18.0	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1	18.1
Мо	[%]	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8
Co	[%]	0.34	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.35
Cu	[%]	0.139	0.140	0.139	0.141	0.138	0.137	0.135	0.139	0.139	0.141
Р	[%]	0.018	0.018	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.018	0.017	0.018	0.018	0.017
Sn	[ppm]	22	22	22	21	21	21	22	21	22	21
Ga	[ppm]	17.9	18.0	17.5	17.5	17.1	17.9	17.9	17.5	17.7	17.6
As	[ppm]	17.2	17.5	16.5	16.9	16.6	16.4	16.3	16.8	16.6	16.3
Sb	[ppm]	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.5
Pb	[ppm]	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10
Bi	[ppm]	0.010	0.009	0.011	0.009	0.008	0.010	0.010	0.008	0.009	0.011



## Repeat GD-MS analysis of NIST 1249 (Inconel 718)

Element	Unit	Average	STD	RSD
Ni	[%]	57.3	0.4	0.7%
Fe	[%]	18.0	0.07	0.4%
Мо	[%]	2.8	0.04	1.6%
Со	[%]	0.35	0.003	0.8%
Cu	[%]	0.139	0.002	1.2%
Р	[%]	0.018	0.0005	2.6%
Sn	[ppm]	21.5	0.6	2.7%
Ga	[ppm]	17.7	0.3	1.6%
As	[ppm]	16.7	0.4	2.3%
Sb	[ppm]	3.7	0.1	2.5%
Pb	[ppm]	0.10	0.007	7.0%
Ві	[ppm]	0.009	0.001	10.5%





## Ni alloys: Sensitivity ELEMENT XR vs ELEMENT GD

	ELEMENT XR [cps]	ELEMENT GD [cps]	GD-MS/ICP-MS
Р	4527	199487	44
Co	194322891	1310000000	67
Cu	9648	766537	79
Та	64270	775730	12
Pb	337	3369	10







# ELEMENT GD by application

## **ELEMENT GD by application**





# Application examples Solar Cell Silicon

#### Challenges

- Routine determination of sub-ppm and sub-ppb concentrations
- High sputter yield required
- BEC & Memory
- Calibration
  - Mostly semiquant





# **GD-MS** Analysis of Solar Cell Silicon





### Special aspects of solid sampling at ppb level



Input from GD source parts: made from graphite

- High purity material available
- Very low sputter probability
- Lowest detection limits





## Input from Memory effects

- Experiment: Analyses of Si after sputtering of an In sample
- Finding: Major contribution (> 98 %) from deposits on extraction lens
- Solution: Plug-in extraction lens
  - Exchange by user within a few minutes through slide valve
  - Venting with Ar avoids moisture in vacuum system: instrument back in operation after 1.5 h





#### Tracking the source of memory effects





#### Input from previous sample: Plug-in cone







### Conclusion

#### ELEMENT GD keeps HR-GDMS alive

- Around 30 instruments within 4 years
- Increasing demand from new markets, e.g. super alloys, solar cell industry

#### ELEMENT GD is fast

• Became a routine tool with > 5-6 samples/hour

#### ELEMENT GD is a routine and powerful technique

- Accepted technique (e.g. contract labs)
- Used for a variety of samples
- Used for matrix to ultra-trace determination
- Easy to use; software based on HR-ICP-MS software

