Analysis of 200+ Pesticides at 500 SRMs/s Using a Non-Timed SRM MS Method and a Short LC Method on TSQ Endura MS

Jia Wang, Charles Yang, Jonathan Beck, Jennifer Massi, Dipankar Ghosh and Mary Blackburn Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA





Overview

Purpose: To demonstrate the 500 SRMs/sec data acquisition rate capability of the TSQ Endura triple quadrupole mass spectrometer

Methods: Thermo Scientific[™] Dionex[™] Ultimate[™] 3000 RSLC system coupled with Thermo Scientific[™] TSQ Endura[™] triple stage quadrupole mass spectrometer was used for pesticides analysis.

Results: A multi-residue method was developed for the screening and quantitation of 224 pesticides with 500 SRMs in peach matrix using a non-Timed SRM (selective reaction monitoring) MS method and a short LC method (10 min). Due to the use of non-timed SRMs and the extreme fast scanning speed, method development was significantly simplified and productivity was improved.

Introduction

Increasing food safety concerns and the growing agricultural trade have resulted in stringent pesticide regulations globally. To comply with strict food safety standards, fast screening and quantitative methods for large numbers of pesticides are becoming important. Tandem quadrupole mass spectrometry offers highly specific and selective detection. However, it is also limited by intra-scan delays and dwell times required to get the maximum sensitivity and reproducibility. Therefore Timed-SRMs are needed for analyzing large numbers of analytes. This poster describes a method for rapid analysis of 200+ pesticides in food samples using a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer without the need for setting up a specific time window for each analyte.

Methods

Sample Preparation

Peach sample was extracted with acetonitrile using a QuEChERS method. The QuEChERS extract was diluted 2 times with water to optimize chromatographic peaks. Pesticides stock standards were spiked into the diluted QuEChERS extract. Then the appropriate amount of acetonitrile was added to adjust the organic composition of the final standard solution to 50:25:25%: water: matrix: acetonitrile. The concentration of the standards ranged from 0.1 to 100 ppb..

Liquid Chromatography

Chromatographic analysis was performed using the Ultimate 3000 RSLC system. The chromatographic conditions were as follows: Column: Thermo Scientific[™] Hypersil[™] GOLD (150 x 3 mm, 3µm) Column temperature: 40 °C LC run time: 10 min Injection volume: $10 \,\mu L$ Flow rate: 400 µL/min Mobile Phase: A: 0.1% Formic Acid in water B: 0.1% Formic acid in acetonitrile Gradient: Time (min) %B 0.00 30 5.6 30 7.0 100

> 7.1 100 10.0

Mass Spectrometry

Samples were analyzed on the TSQ Endura triple stage quadrupole mass spectrometer with a heated electrospray ionization (HESI) source. 224 pesticides with 500 SRMs were monitored throughout the entire LC run in positive mode, non timed-SRM, 2 or 3 SRMs per compound.

30

The MS conditions were as follows:

Sheath Gas Flow Rate: 50 units Aux Gas Flow Rate: 10 units Spray Voltage: 3500 V Capillary Temp: 300 °C Heater Temp: 350 °C Cycle Time: 1 s

Data Analysis

Method development, data acquisition, and data processing were performed with Thermo Scientific[™] TraceFinder[™] software. TraceFinder software is tailored for the environmental and food safety markets and simplifies method development, acquisition, data review and reporting. It provides a comprehensive system incorporating built-in methods for commonly found contaminants, processing methods, library searching capabilities, and data review with easily customizable reporting templates. TraceFinder software has a Compound Database (CDB) which includes transitions for several hundred pesticides, herbicides, personal care products, and pharmaceutical compounds.

Results

Multi-residue screening studies can generate very large SRM transition lists in a single experiment. A Timed-SRM (T-SRM) method is usually needed for qualitative and quantitative analyses of large number of analytes. In a T-SRM experiment, the method is set to look for specific transitions only during the expected retention-time window. This increases the number of SRM transitions that can be monitored effectively per experiment. But setting up a T-SRM MS method is very time consuming. The retention time of each analyte needs to be determined before the T-SRM MS method can be constructed. T-SRM MS methods also do not accommodate retention time shifts caused by matrix effects.

A mixture of 224 pesticides representing a broad spectrum of chemical classes was selected in this experiment. Either two or three SRMs were monitored for each compound. The first transition was used for quantitation and the 2nd/3rd transition were used for confirmation. There are 500 SRMs total in the method. Table 1 shows an extracted list of SRMs (first 14 analytes and last 7 analytes).

			RT Time					
		Retention	Window		Precursor	Product	Collision Energy (V	
	Compound	Time (min)	(min)	Polarity	(m/z)	(m/z)		
1	Methamidophos	5	10	Positive	142	94.085	12	
2	Methamidophos	5	10	Positive	142	125.11	11	
3	Methomyl	5	10	Positive	163.1	88.098	10	
4	Methomyl	5	10	Positive	163.1	106.078	11	
5	Oxamyl Oxime	5	10	Positive	163.45	106.99	13	
6	Oxamyl Oxime	5	10	Positive	163.45	135.312	10	
7	Fenuron	5	10	Positive	165.1	72.107	14	
8	Fenuron	5	10	Positive	165.1	120.081	18	
9	Cyromazine	5	10	Positive	167.35	85.079	17	
10	Cyromazine	5	10	Positive	167.35	125.248	17	
11	Acephate	5	10	Positive	184	125.141	15	
12	Acephate	5	10	Positive	184	143.056	8	
13	Fuberidazole	5	10	Positive	185.07	156.216	29	
14	Fuberidazole	5	10	Positive	185.07	157.118	22	
15	Isocarbamid	5	10	Positive	186.1	87.12	15	
16	Isocarbamid	5	10	Positive	186.1	112.91	16	
17	Propamocarb HCI	5	10	Positive	189.35	74.103	20	
18	Propamocarb HCI	5	10	Positive	189.35	144.145	9	
19	Eptc	5	10	Positive	190.1	86.1	10	
20	Eptc	5	10	Positive	190.1	128.462	7	
21	Tricyclazole	5	10	Positive	190.11	109.086	37	
22	Tricyclazole	5	10	Positive	190.11	136.184	29	
23	Tricyclazole	5	10	Positive	190.11	163.121	23	
24	Carbendazim	5	10	Positive	192.45	131.985	26	
25	Carbendazim	5	10	Positive	192.45	160.17	16	
26	Isoprocarb	5	10	Positive	194.1	95.111	14	
27	Isoprocarb	5	10	Positive	194.1	137.361	8	
28	Cycluron	5	10	Positive	199.2	72.247	20	
29	Cycluron	5	10	Positive	199.2	89.202	13	

TABLE 1. Extracted SRM transition list

487	Indoxacarb	5	10	Positive	528.1	150,153	17
488	Indoxacarb	5	10	Positive	528.1	203.028	34
489	Chlorfluazuron	5	10	Positive	540	158.1	17
490	Chlorfluazuron	5	10	Positive	540	383.043	17
491	Spinosad A	5	10	Positive	732.45	98.295	45
492	Spinosad A	5	10	Positive	732.45	142.273	28
493	Spinosad D	5	10	Positive	746.47	98.288	45
494	Spinosad D	5	10	Positive	746.47	142.297	30
495	Spinetoram J	5	10	Positive	748.49	98.321	47
496	Spinetoram J	5	10	Positive	748.49	142.29	30
497	Spinetoram L	5	10	Positive	760.48	98.319	45
498	Spinetoram L	5	10	Positive	760.48	142.289	31
499	Emamectin B1B	5	10	Positive	886.5	82.135	44
500	Emamectin B1B	5	10	Positive	886.5	158.239	31

In this experiment, 224 pesticides with 500 SRMs were monitored throughout the entire LC run. Without the need to set up a retention time for individual compounds. This significantly simplified method development. The total ion chromatogram is shown in Figure 1. All pesticides were eluted between 1.86 – 7.93 min.

FIGURE 1. Total ion chromatogram of 224 pesticides (10 ppb)






Figure 2 shows the extracted chromatogram of ametryn from 0.1 to 100 ppb (3 replicates at each level). Nice peak shape and stable retention time was observed. One advantage of using a non-timed SRM MS method is the ability to accommodate retention time shifts caused by matrix effects. So one method can be used for various matrices. Method development is also significantly simplified.

FIGURE 2. Example chromatograms: ametryn at all calibration levels (0.1-100ppb)



The experimental data was analyzed using the Data Review section of TraceFinder software. In this section, calibration lines, ion ratios, peak integration, and MS spectra can be monitored, and samples that meet certain user-set criteria can be flagged. In addition, user adjustments, such as peak re-integration, are permitted. The effects of the changes on the results are instantly updated in the results grid. The extracted ion chromatogram and calibration curve for example pesticides, prometon, buprofezin and schradan are shown in Figures 3, 4 and 5. Three replicates of each calibration standard were injected at each level. Excellent R² values were obtained for these analytes. This demonstrates that a Non-timed SRM MS method with a short 10 minute LC gradient is suitable for screening and quantitation of 224 pesticides with 500 SRMs using the TSQ Endura mass spectrometer.







FIGURE 4. TraceFinder software view of extracted ion chromatogram and standard calibration curve (buprofezin at 10 ppb - R2 =0.9991, n=3)

Thermo TraceFinder EFS LC File View Tools Help							_		and and the second	and the second second	1000	-	_							• ×
File View Tools Help	÷ Ta I=	The site of	N A de															Real time	status User: jia.war	ng l 🕜 👌
Analysis •				urve-Non-Time	d-p1-100ppb	ouad	ratic-cu	w												
Batch View	Samples			_	• # ×	Compound Results														
	# 1-	🕫 4 - Flags Status		Filename	Sample Ty *	18	Flags	Flag Deta	c	impound	Area	%Diff	%RSD	%CV	Theoretical Amt	Calculated Amt	Expected RT	Actual RT	Compound Type	Height
Samples	-	64 ·	ði •	ð •	ð. •		ð= •	64 •	ð.	•	64 •	64 •	ði 🔻	ðı •	ða 🔹	ði •	64 +	ð= •	ðı •	ðı •
Auto Samples	31 31		•	Cal8-1P	Cal Std	65	10		Atrazine		697046	-7.90	5.82	5.71	10.000	9.210	4.88	4.88	Target Compound	151851
Reference Sample	3.2 3.2	<u> </u>	•	Cal8-29	Cal Std	66	P		Azoxystro	bin	347152	-4.69	3.01	3.00	2.000	1.906	5.60	5.60	Target Compound	79808
Threshold Samples	33 33	<u> </u>	•	Cal8-3P	Cal Std	67	-		Benalaxyl		860234	0.95	6.23	6.12	10.000	10.095	6.47	6.47	Target Compound	195278
	34 34	<u> </u>	•	Cal9-1P	Cal Std	68	-		Bendioca	ь	383505	-2.04	9.58	9.51	5.000	4.898	3.48	3.48	Target Compound	74961
Data Review	35 35		•	Cal9-29	Cal Std	69			Bifenazat		560150	6.18	8.39	8.05	10.000	10.618	5.92	5.92	Target Compound	
Sample View	36 36		•	Cal9-3P	Cal Std	70			Brodifaco		118912	-2.00	6.61	6.61	10.000	9.800	7.62,7.76	7.76, 7.64	Target Compound	
Compound View	37 37		•	Cal10-1P	Cal Std	71			Bromuco		17985	-7.18	48.65	49.87	10.000	9.282	5.65,5.98	5.65, 5.98	Target Compound	
	38 38		•	Cal10-2P	Cal Std	72			Bupirimat		200337	-8.72	7.73	7.64	10.000	9.128	5.36	5.36	Target Compound	
Comparative View	39 39		•	Cal10-3P	Cal Std				Buprofezin		1293796	-2.64	0.79	0.78	10.000	9.736	6.57	6.57	Target Compound	
Qualitative View	40 40		•	Call1-1P	Cal Std =	74			Butachlor		530172	-7.71	10.39	10.51	10.000	9.229	7.41	7.41	Target Compound	
	41 41			Call1-2P	Cal Std	75	- 21		Butafena	il .	12313	16.96	57.37	70.36	10.000	11.695	6.18	6.18	Target Compound	
port View	42 43			Call1-3P	Cal Std	76	- 21		Carbaryl		145420	-14.21	10.23	9.93	10.000	8.579	4.73	4.73 2.09	Target Compound	
Local Method	43 43			Cal12-1P Cal12-2P	Cal Std	78			Carbendazim Carbetamide Carbofuran		87050 612881	-0.02 12.94	16.71 9.89	16.30 9.88	10.000	9.998 11.294	3.93	3.93	Target Compound	
				Call2-2P Call2-3P	Cal Std						612881 615072	-8.07	9.89	9.88	10.000	9.193	4.59	4.59	Target Compound	
Acquisition			Call2-3P Call3-1P	Cal Std	80		Carboturan Carboxin		879561	-8.07	4.87	4.77	10.000	9.193	4.59	4.59	Target Compound Target Compound			
Quantitation	40 40			Call3-1P Call3-2P	Cal Std	80			Carboxin Carfentrazone-ethyl		879661 53836	-6.68	4.8/	16.67	10.000	9.332	6.33	6.33	Target Compound Target Compound	
Processing				Cal13-2P		01	81 G		Carlentia	one-eolyi	33630	-0.00		10,07	10,000	9.532	0.55	0.55	Target Compound	11010
	I.c. Summer																			
Compounds		ind Details							V	Calibration Curve										ŢX,
QAQC	Quan Peak - X			Confirming lons 👻				Calibration Curve	•				Buprofezin					• ^		
Groups	Buprofusin RT: 6.57 Gal11-1P 4 1/1 F RT: 6.57 G			Cal11-1	al11-1P Y = -1.556e2X*2 + 1.3565X - 5.31563; R*2: 0.9991; Origin: Ignore: W: 10X; Area															
Intel Seg		RT: 6	57				RT: 6.5			12000000-1										~
Reports		AH: 2	293796.02 79679.26					4504.60 799.37		11000000-										-
Reports	100	3 58:6	7006967332505	0000000000	₹ ¹⁰⁰ Ъ		SN: IN			10000000-								~		
egacy Report View	1.	-			80-					9000000-							~			
		1	- A		100					8000000-						_				
	5 EO				a 40-1					_ 7000000-						- /				
	⁴⁰	1			20-		- 1			₹ 6000000-					_					
	20	1	<u> </u>		20-		(5000000-										
		1			0-1	6.0	6.5	7.0	7.5	4000000-				/						
		6.0	6.5 RT(min)	7.0 7.5			RT) m/z:116		_	3000000-			-							
cquisition	m/z 201.150 14.473 Apex RT:						47% 116.050/201.150 = 31.27% 200000-													
nalysis	Apex Area:	RT: 6.57 L293796		2	Area: 404	85			-	0	· · · · ·	10	20	30	40 5	60 60	70	80	90	100
ethod Development	Compou	nd Details	Sample Peak	c																

FIGURE 5. TraceFinder software view of extracted ion chromatogram and standard calibration curve (schradan at 10 ppb - R2 =0.9992, n=3)



Conclusion

- The TSQ Endura MS can perform screening and quantitation of 224 pesticides with 500 SRMs in peach matrix using a non-timed SRM MS method and a short LC run (<10 minutes)
- The ultra fast data acquisition rate of 500 SRM/s allowed us to improve productivity:
 - ✓ significantly simplified method development, especially for large compound lists
 - eliminate the need to set up a specific time windows for each compound
 - > Accommodate shifting retention times in different matrices
 - ✓ shorten LC run time

www.thermofisher.com

©2016 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved. All trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific and its subsidiaries. This information is presented as an example of the capabilities of Thermo Fisher Scientific products. It is not intended to encourage use of these products in any manners that might infringe the intellectual property rights of others. Specifications, terms and pricing are subject to change. Not all products are available in all countries. Please consult your local sales representative for details.

Africa +43 1 333 50 34 0 Australia +61 3 9757 4300 Austria +43 810 282 206 Belgium +32 53 73 42 41 Canada +1 800 530 8447 China 800 810 5118 (tree call domestic) 400 650 5118 Japan +81 45 453 9100 Latin America +1 561 688 8700 Middle East +43 1 333 50 34 0 Netherlands +31 76 579 55 55 New Zealand +64 9 980 6700 Norway +46 8 556 468 00 Russia/CIS +43 1 333 50 34 0 Singapore +65 6289 1190 Spain +34 914 845 965 Sweden +46 8 556 468 00 Switzerland +41 61 716 77 00 UK +44 1442 233555 USA +1 800 532 4752

