A novel method for non-destructive quantification of Decabromodiphenyl ether in new plastic goods: DIP-HRMS

A.Guzzonato^{1,2}, H. Mehlmann², D. Krumwiede², S. Harrad^{2 1}School of GEES, University of Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. ²Thermo Fisher Scientific, Hanna-Kunath Str. 11, 28199, Bremen, Germany

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Quantification of BDE209 that does not require any sample preparation, solvent free, reducing analysis time from roughly 14 hours to 12 minutes.

Methods: Direct insertion probe --magnetic sector high resolution mass spectrometry.

Results: Linearity over a calibration range of 19000 mg \cdot kg⁻¹; LOD for BDE209 of 0.112 mg \cdot kg⁻¹. Compound specific quantification without extraction or chromatographic separation.

INTRODUCTION

Recommended methods for monitoring compliance with RoHS limits for PBDEs can be divided into two main approaches: orientative screening and high-accuracy chemical analysis. Screening is preferred for in situ evaluations as it is usually performed via solid sampling techniques like hand-held X-ray Fluorescence spectroscopy (XRF) although this can only quantify Br as a proxy for the total BFR content, thereby running the risk of false positives. More conventional techniques are recommended for high-accuracy determination of BFRs like PBDEs. Specifically, RoHS requires GC-MS analysis to determine the BFR content in styrenic polymers (preceded by different sample preparation steps: sub-sample grinding, cryogrinding, solvent extraction, extract filtration, selective precipitation for oligomer removal, and chromatographic purification). These traditional techniques can have a number of drawbacks aside from being time consuming and expensive. Soxhlet or pressurised liquid extraction of plastics often dissolves a substantial fraction of the matrix (polymer) together with the target compound, rendering the ensuing extract purification laborious and often leading to highly variable analyte recoveries. Furthermore PBDEs are present across a wide range of bromination level, from the lower brominated tri-BDEs and tetra-BDEs with a low boiling point to the most brominated (deca-BDE) with a very high boiling point. This makes it practically difficult to use the same GC-MS system set-up to analyze (all PDBE homologues) simultaneously in a single GC run: ideally two different GC columns are used, causing several analytical delays. The high boiling point and its enhanced susceptibility to degradation and debromination when exposed at the elevated temperatures of the injector, column, ion source and detector make BDE209 a challenging analyte. To face these analytical challenges novel methods for the quantification of BFRs have been developed recently combining GC, LC or GCxGC with a soft ionization source (APCI) and a high resolution time-of-Flight mass spectrometer or for compound-specific screening using direct probe with HR-TOF.[1]. One attempt to develop a solid sampling, compound-specific analysis was made by exploring the potential of Direct Analysis in Real Time coupled with Time Of Flight Mass Spectrometry (DART-TOF-MS), [2] but results revealed it as constituting only a qualitative method to screen for the presence of BFRs in environmental matrices. Against this backdrop, it is evident that a method that combines the convenience of a solid sampling technique with compound specific quantification is highly desirable. We present here a simple, sensitive and rapid method using Direct Insertion Probe (DIP) in combination with magnetic sector high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS). This method characterizes target compounds without a chromatographic separation needed, solely via accurate mass determination combined with a traditional library search. To our knowledge, this is the first approach for compound-specific direct analysis of BFRs in polymers that does not require any sample preparation nor a GC or LC inlet. The method is validated via determination of BDE209 in Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS) solid reference materials (RMs), but accurate mass determination can be applied to unambiguously identify other PBDE congeners.

The method was applied to 21 real polymeric samples (children's toys and food contact articles, for which the BDE209 concentration was measured. BDE209 data and total elemental Br measured with an X-ray fluorescence spectrometer were plotted to evaluate if a correlation existed between the two metrics. BDE209 was detected in a concentration ranging from 8.8 mg \cdot kg⁻¹ to 4327 mg \cdot kg⁻¹. Considering that these data refer to real samples, containing a suite of different BFRs, each potentially contributing to the total elemental Br concentration; the correlation (R² = 0.86) between our BDE209 concentration measurements and those for total Br is striking. Moreover, our measurements of BDE209 – which is likely to be a fraction of the total BFR content – never exceeded those of total detected Br (Fig. 4).

Reproducibility of the fragmentation ratios

The ionization behavior was tested for reproducibility by selecting m/z 799 and m/z 959 from the time signal and measuring the intensity for these masses over the selected time interval. DIP offers a specific advantage with respect to GC-MS analysis: as there is no column or injector between the sample introduction system and the ionization volume, it is possible to differentiate between breakdown products (caused by thermal degradation) and ionization fragments (produced by the EI ionization process). This is easily done by comparing the time signals for the molecular ion and for its possible moieties as shown in Fig. 5 (a) and (e) show the overlap in intensities of the time signal respectively for the decabrominated ion and the octabrominated ion, meaning that the latter was formed simultaneously in the source, as a fragment of the former. Following this approach we are also able to say that as the pentabromophenate ion (d) was detected at the same time as molecular bromine (g), the debromination happened in the ion source and not as a thermal process in the sample; moreover, time signals (d) and (g) are both detected before the deca- and octa brominated fragments meaning that their parent ion was already present in the reference material before the insertion in the source. The ratio between the molecular ion and its main fragmentation product was for all measured concentrations -3.1 ± 0.04 , showing it to be independent of the sample concentration and suggesting very reproducible fractionation behavior. This is important as it allows subtraction of the contribution made by the BDE209-2Br fragment to the signal for m/z 799, thereby facilitating quantification of any octa-BDEs present. A comparison between the mass spectrum of the sample RM obtained using our DIP-HRMS method and that obtained via GC-MS following traditional sample preparation methods and liquid sampling in Fig. 6 shows how the ratio between m/z 799 and m/z 959 is almost two times higher for the traditional GCMS technique. The thermal decomposition is reduced in the DIP method because the sample is introduced in a chamber under vacuum (instead of under pressure as it would be in a GC injector) therefore the sufficient vapor pressure is reached at lower temperatures.





thermoscientific

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples and Sample Preparation

Br (in the form of deca-BDE) and Sb (in the form of Sb_2O_3) were added to an ABS terpolymer melt with the aid of an extruder. Sb_2O_3 is generally used as a synergist FR in combination with BFRs. A set of five different reference materials was produced by Fachhochshule Muenster Labor fur Instrumentelle Analytik in the form of pellets containing different mass fractions of both Br and Sb plus typical fillers commonly used in ABS in order to best simulate the matrix of the samples. Mass fractions of Br in the produced materials were certified via Neutron-Activation-Analysis (NAA). The uncertainty of NAA is about 7% . To assess macroscopic homogeneity a wavelength dispersive X-ray spectrometer was used with RSD below 2% for Br. To assess microscopic homogeneity a synchrotron radiation m-XRF (SRm-XRF) was used. The spot size of the exciting beam was 200 mm, the RSD for Br was 0.7%.

No sample preparation was required. A very small amount (\approx 0.045 mg) was scraped from the pellets of the RMs with a scalpel, accurately weighed with a precision scale (0.0005 mg) and inserted in the aluminum crucibles for the DIP. The influence of the scale error on such a small sample is 1.1%.

Instrumentation

The Thermo Scientific[™]DFS[™] Magnetic Sector High Resolution Mass Spectrometer (HRMS) was used for DIP-HRMS analysis. The probe (Fig.1) temperature program is software controlled. The Thermo Scientific[™] ISQ[™] QD Single Quadrupole GC-MS System was used for the comparison of mass spectra obtained with the most common GC-MS technique (and relative sample preparation). The optimised conditions for BDE209 were obtained by varying one parameter at a time, performing a measurement and observing the influence of this variation on sensitivity, reproducibility and degree of fragmentation of the parent ion: faster DIP temperature ramps and higher electron energies were found to decrease the parent/daughter ratio and to increase the overall signal intensity, therefore a compromise between these two effects was found . To set the desired resolution of 20 000 FWHM the reference gas (perfluoro kerosene (PFK), indicated in the mass spectrometric determination section) was monitored on mass 792.9499 m/z and the entrance and exit slits were closed recursively until the desired resolution was reached, these parameters were stored in the measurement conditions and the instrument response was regularly checked using the same reference gas.

CONCLUSIONS

The method reported here represents a rapid, accurate way of performing compound specific quantification of BDE209 in polymers, that avoids completely the labor intensive, time consuming preparation of the samples. Because of the conveniently small sample size required for our analysis (≈0.045 mg), this virtually non-destructive method is designed to be used on articles still in use as domestic appliances (therefore allowing application in studies requiring identification of putative source items in human exposure studies) as well as future waste items. With a linear range covering a concentration span of 19000 mg kg⁻¹ which for new and recycled plastics represents the full range of detected concentrations (a considerable improvement with respect to a recent DEP study,[3] where the calibration span was from 0.5 to 16 mg· kg⁻¹) this technique can be a valid, easier, alternative to existing analytical methods for monitoring RoHS compliance in consumer goods. These articles belong now to a second and third generation of recycling; and thus generally contain lower concentrations of BFRs (compared to the concentrations of the intentionally added BFRs in older items) as the contaminated polymeric fractions have been mixed with new polymers. This is illustrated by a recent study where a direct injection probe coupled with a HR-TOF-MS was used to screen BFRs in plastics, in which the concentration of FRs in the analyzed samples never exceeded 1.6% in WEEE items [1]. Our method is tested here for BDE209 in ABS as a proof of concept, but given suitable solid RMs, guantification of lower brominated compounds in other polymers and over wider calibration ranges will be feasible. DIP-MS optimised for PBDEs in plastics is able to give results that are as accurate as GC-MS [4] but are at least 50 times faster to achieve. Considering the burgeoning need for quantification of BFRs in waste samples [IEC 62321], we believe our method will be of significant value.

Figure 1. 1 Water cooled DI Probe (Temp. range: 25 C° – 400 C°); Tip with Crucible





Figure 4a and 4b. The Thermo Scientific DFS Magnetic Sector GC-HRMS. Correlation between BDE209 measured with DIP-MS-HRMS and total elemental Br measured with X-Ray Fluorescence. Considering that these data refer to real samples, and thus contain a suite of different BFRs each contributing to the total elemental Br concentration, the proportionality between the BDE209 concentration and that of Br is clear. In addition, the inset plot shows that on no occasion does the detected BDE209 (which is likely to be a fraction of the total BFR content) exceed the total detected Br. Error bars for X-Ray Fluorescence results are equal to 4 SD, for DIP-MS-HRMS to the relative measurement accuracy.



Data Analysis

As no GC column is used, the only time difference in vaporization is dictated by the compound's vapour pressure. The RMs we used to test this method were loaded with BDE209, although due to the process they underwent to be produced (melted and extruded several times to ensure homogeneity), some thermal decomposition is likely to have produced a small amount of decomposition products inside the polymer. Thermo Scientific™ Mass Frontier Software was used to simulate all the potential BDE209 fragments and hence identify target ions, for this method we chose the molecular ion of decaBDE (m/z 959) and its main breakdown product (m/z 799) octaBDE. Isotopic patterns and exact masses corresponding to these two ions were simulated using the Thermo Scientific™ Xcalibur™ Software. The exact masses were used to calculate the mass measurement error (ppm). The deviation of the measured masses from the exact masses was for all isotopologues of BDE209 (averaged over 20 scans) less than 1 ppm using a dedicated pre calibrated method based on reference material PFK which uses all the exact masses (see Fig. 2).

RESULTS

Verification of the DIP-HRMS method

Octa-BDE and deca-BDE were measured and their ratio evaluated to test the reproducibility of the fragmentation. Although selected ion mode analysis can provide better sensitivity and transient signals that are easier to interpret, we decided to acquire in the complete mass range (m/z 30-1000) for BDE209 for three reasons: (a) when BDE209 is present in consumer goods, whether it is added voluntarily or not, its concentration is usually orders of magnitude higher than the detection limits of the DFS magnetic sector GC-HRMS; moreover the regulatory limits set a relatively high concentration threshold of 0.1% in homogeneous material; (b) for quantitative purposes it is very important to include in the calculation every fragment (including molecular Br) deriving from the parent ions present in the samples. This approach allowed us to understand whether it was reasonable to assume that – granted a very stable fragmentation yield – the total Br would have been linearly proportional to any of the main fragments produced (octa-BDE and nona-BDE); and (c) such a wide mass range –covering the vast majority of commonly used BFRs – delivers the flexibility to identify and quantify different compounds simultaneously. The calibration curve was determined by analyzing each of the five solid RMs (0%, 0.1%, 0.5%, 1%, 2% w/w of BDE209) in triplicate. Intensities were considered selecting the 3 most intense m/z values from the isotopic pattern and averaging the intensities of the time signals corresponding to those 3 masses. Scans from the tails of the transient signal were excluded when their relative intensity was less than 5% of the most intense scan (this corresponds to ca. 40 scans for each "peak"). The signal intensity of BDE209 (average intensity between m/z 959, 957, 961) was plotted against the reference value of the RMs. In the same way, the signal intensity of the -2Br fragment (average intensity between m/z 799, 797, 801) was plotted against the reference value. The correlation factor R² was >0.999 for both BDE209 and its octabrominated breakdown product, showing linearity over the selected range. The calibration curve for BDE209 was obtained by averaging the signal intensity of the three most abundant isotopologues of BDE209, m/z 959, 957, 961 for each calibration level (Fig. 3a). The calibration curve for the main fragmentation product of BDE209 – which is octaBDE - was obtained by averaging the signal intensity of the three most abundant isotopologues of octaBDE, m/z 799, 797, 801 for each calibration level (Fig. 3b). The LOD was defined as in the ICH1 Guidance (Q2, R1: validation of analytical procedures) as3 times the standard deviation of the response on the triplicate measurement of blank samples (RM BDE209) divided by the slope of the calibration curve. The noise – defined as the intensity of the signal given by the target mass on a blank measurement – was below 1/3 of the instrument detection limits. This result was foreseeable, considering that each sample, and the crucible containing it, was removed from the probe before inserting a new sealed crucible containing a different sample, therefore no physical residues of the previous sample could be left on the one following (unlike a traditional GC analysis, where polymeric residues might build up in the injector liner and in the column and create a memory effect). The calculated LOD with this method was 0.112 mg· kg⁻¹, the LOQ was 1.120 mg. kg⁻¹ for BDE209, slightly lower than a similar study performed with Direct Exposure Probe (DEP), [3] and with the advantage of no sample preparation needed. The memory effect was evaluated by calculating the RSD% between triplicate measurements of the most concentrated RMs: the percent variation was 0.47% and no increasing trend was observed. Intraday stability was evaluated by performing control runs of RM3 at the beginning, in the middle and at the end of each day of analysis. Over 3 days the intraday RSD of the signal intensity for BDE209 averaged at 1.96%, while inter-day RSD was 0.51%.

Figure 2. Comparison of (a) accurate masses measured over 20 scans for BDE209 and (b) their calculated exact value.



REFERENCES

1. A. Ballesteros-Gomez, J. de Boer and P.E.G. Leonards, Anal. Chem., 2013, 85, 9572–9580.



Figure 5. Time signal obtained with DIP-HRMS (selected over the entire time signal for: (a) m/z 957–960; (b) m/z 485–487; (c) m/z 406–409; (d) m/z 326–330; (e) m/z 796–802; (f) m/z 79–81; (g) m/z 158–162; (h) m/z 722–726. It is possible to notice that the formation of the penta brominated ions (d) corresponds to a simultaneous release of Br2 molecules (g)).

799: [M-Br2]+

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

Sample

0.35

0.4

0.45

- H. Jana, V. Lukas, P. Jana, P. Jan and C. Tomas, DARTTOFMS: a challenging approach in rapid monitoring of brominated flame retardants in environmental matrices. Organohalogen Compounds, Institute of Chemical Technology, Faculty of Food and Biochemical Technology, Department of Food Chemistry and Analysis, Technicka 3, 166 28, Prague, Prague 6, Czech Republic, 2008, vol. 70, p. 922.
- 3. J. Jung, S. Bae, L. Lee, J.-K. Shin, J. Choi and S. Lee, Microchem. J., 2009, 91, 140. A.
- 4. C. Ionas, A. C. Dirtu, T. Anthonissen, H. Neels and A. Covaci, Environ. Int., 2014, 65, 54–62.

AKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research leading to these results has received funding from the People Program (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's Seventh Framework Program FP7/2007–2013/under REA grant agreement n 606857 (ELUTE).

Presented at the 8th International Symposium on Flame Retardants (BFR 2017), York, 7-10 May 2017.





