


Guidelines for the Evaluation of Commercially Prepared Culture Media

Principle

Evaluation of each medium may require different procedures. For primary media, a variety of microorganisms and specimens may be evaluated to determine if the medium will support the isolation and differentiation of the microorganisms. Media may also be designed to inhibit the growth of various microorganisms, which can be evaluated by inoculation with representative strains expected to be inhibited. Concurrent with testing the media with laboratory cultures, it is also important to test media using actual specimens to verify their ability to grow and inhibit the resident microorganism flora. When evaluating different brands of prepared media, the laboratory inoculates the same specimens in parallel for each commercial source.

For differential media, it may be sufficient to validate the medium only for the specific purpose and microorganisms for which the medium will be used.

It is recommended that you should check with your accrediting body for guidance regarding the evaluation required for your laboratory when switching to a different commercial manufacturer. Other considerations to evaluate include the delivery speed and reliability, order fill rates, and diversity of product range.



The following procedure is a guideline suggestion intended to be modified as required by your laboratory requirements.

Material for testing

Generally, the medium formulations evaluated will be those most commonly used for specimens. Inoculate at least two plates per medium with each organism as required. Suggested media to evaluate are listed below.

- Columbia Sheep Blood Agar (COL)
- Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SAB)
- Chromogenic UTI Agar (UTI)
- TSA media (TSA)
- TSA w/5% Sheep Blood (TSA + SB)
- Chocolate Agar (CHOC)
- MacConkey Agar (MAC) or EMB Levine Agar (EMB)
- Columbia CNA Agar w/5% Sheep or Horse Blood (CNA)
- Non-selective Anaerobic Medium

Differential media

1. Test media

- Media can be evaluated by inoculating well-characterized laboratory strains and quality control organisms.
- As part of the evaluation, blind testing should be performed on organisms expected to give a positive and negative reaction with the product.
- For these tests, it is not necessary to evaluate each vendor simultaneously.

2. Susceptibility Test Media

- Evaluate the media as required by your accreditation body using applicable CLSI or EUCAST procedures.

1. ATCC® quality control microorganisms or other recognized culture collection
2. Fresh clinical isolates of microorganisms
3. Specimens to supply the needed variety of microorganisms for testing media
 - Sputum and wound specimens
 - Rectal and throat swabs
4. Other supplies
 - Pasteur pipets with graduations for 1 mL and 0.1 mL (2 drops)
 - Sterile swabs and sticks
 - Inoculating loops
 - Tubes of broth and saline (9 mL and 10 mL) for dilutions
5. Equipment
 - Biological safety cabinet
 - Incubators (35-37°C; both 5% CO₂ and ambient air)
 - Anaerobic gas-generating system

Testing materials

Quality control

Each medium is controlled with positive and negative control strains.

Evaluation procedures

Refer to the Thermo Fisher Scientific™ Excel® file, 'Evaluation of Commercially Prepared Culture Media Report Form' (which can be supplied by your local representative or via Technical Support). This document should be customized for your laboratory to contain the media, quality control ATCC® and clinical strains, and testing criteria as advised by your accrediting body. You may also wish to add additional testing that is not required but helpful for your laboratory in training staff regarding the differences between the media from one manufacturer versus the other.

Evaluation of media

1. Perform tests using the QC strains or clinical isolates as required by your accrediting body and others as you require for staff training for each medium to determine that the medium is able to support the growth and/or inhibit the growth intended, as well as showing the appropriate morphology.
2. Using standardized suspensions of the quality control organisms is a better challenge of media performance than direct inoculation. A standardized suspension also allows comparison of quality control results between users. A more concentrated suspension tests the ability of selective media to successfully inhibit certain organisms. A lighter suspension challenges the ability of the nonselective media to adequately support growth. Refer to the Flow Chart (Figure 1).

Plate media

(See Figure 1)

- Prepare a suspension in a sterile, non-bacteriostatic saline (0.85% w/v NaCl) or broth to match a 0.5 McFarland standard. Use an 18-24 hour culture to prepare the suspension.
- Dilute the suspension 1:10 in sterile broth or non-bacteriostatic saline. Inoculate each medium with 10 µL (0.01 mL) of the suspension. Streak for isolation. If the 1:10 dilution inoculum proves too dense, use a 1:100 dilution to produce isolated colonies.


Tubed media

- Inoculate with 10 µL of the undiluted 0.5 McFarland standard suspension.

Specimens

1. Process specimen(s) types indicated in each Worksheet in the Evaluation of Commercially Prepared Culture Media Report Form, per usual laboratory procedures. If swab specimens are being used, alternate which manufacturer's medium is inoculated first each time.
2. Determine if the results of growth from each brand of medium agree. Place the media side by side to compare.
3. Generally, three to five specimen comparisons are sufficient.
4. For biochemical tests, determine that appropriate reactions are achieved using a variety of strains. Generally, five positive- and five negative-reacting strains are sufficient.

Figure 1. Flow chart for evaluating media



Other evaluation factors

Evaluation of Prepared Media includes the performance of the media, delivery, packaging, expiration dating, moisture content, and other factors.

1. **Delivery Time** – Does the delivery time from placement of the order to receipt of order meet your laboratory's needs?
2. **Complete Order** – Is the order complete? Do the products delivered match the products listed on the packing slip?
3. **Lot Number – Package and Media** – Does the lot number on the packing slip, media box, and plates or tubes match? Are there multiple lots of the same medium?
4. **Days to Expiration** – Does the shelf life on the media at time of receipt meet the manufacturer's minimum remaining shelf life guarantee?

5. **Appropriate Containers** – Does the media box offer protection of the product during shipment? Are media containing dyes shielded from light? Does the box have the required storage temperature, lot number, and expiry date?
6. **Moisture Content** – Is there excessive moisture in the package? Is there drying-out of the media in the package?
7. **Fill Volume** – Is the fill volume of plated media as expected?
8. **Contamination** – Are the media contaminated? For the purposes of an evaluation, five plates or tubes of each medium should be incubated to check for contamination.
9. **Condition of Plates** – Are they clean? Are labels readable?

10. Condition of Media – Are the media in good condition? Media should be checked for the following:

- Cracked or dried medium
- Medium detached from the plates
- Frozen or melted medium
- Unequal fill, and correct depth
- Change in colour from what is expected
- Excessive bubbles or irregular surfaces
- Excessive moisture
- Contamination
- Cracked/broken plates, tubes or plate/tube defects
- Leaking tubes/bottles

11. Delivery note – Does the delivery note contain lot numbers, expiry dates, product numbers, descriptions of medium?

Reporting

Rate each medium on the criteria required by your accrediting body and any other important points that you wish to document for staff training. Record results on the Evaluation of Commercially Prepared Culture Media Report Form.

Find out more at thermofisher.com/clinical-ppm-switch

© 2020 Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. All rights reserved. All trademarks are the property of Thermo Fisher Scientific and its subsidiaries unless otherwise specified. Excel is a trademark of Microsoft Corporation. The ATCC Licensed Derivative Emblem, the ATCC Licensed Derivative word mark, and the ATCC catalogue marks are trademarks of ATCC. **LT2514A 07-2020**

ThermoFisher
SCIENTIFIC